Grant Proposals: The Fundamentals

Sarah Henrickson Parker, PhD VTCRI, VTCSoM, Carilion Clinic

THESE SLIDES WERE ORIGINALLY DEVELOPED BY : Kendall K. Hall, MD, MS, FACEP



Objectives

- Importance of Grant Funding
- Grant Basics
 - Sources of Funding
 - Types of Grants
 - Funding opportunities
- Grant Application Process
 - The Application
 - Application Attributes
 - The Review
- Next Steps
- Resources



<u>Why Grants are Important</u> (Individual)

- Grants = funding
 - Time
 - People
 - Equipment
 - Training
- Grants = expertise
 - From ideas to grants to publications
- Grants = validation
 - Ideas are disseminated
 - Positive press
 - Leadership support



<u>Why Grants are Important</u> (Institution)

61%



March 7, 2016

Sources of Grant Funding

- Institutional
 - University, hospital, corporate
- Foundations
 - RWJ, Gates, EMF
- Voluntary Health Agencies
 - AHA, ADA, NKF
- Industry
 - GM, Pharma
- Government

March 7, 2016

Federal, State, Local





Types of Grants (HHS)

- Wide range available
 - Research (R series)
 - Includes Conference Grants
 - Career Development (K series)
 - Research Training & Fellowship (T- and F-series)

CARILIONCLI

- Dissertation and post-doctoral fellowship
- Program Project / Center (P series)
- Small Business (SBIR/STTR)
- Cooperative Agreements (U series)

• Not all agencies use every type

March 7, 2016

Types of Grants – R Series

Activity Code	Title	Description	
R01	Research Project	 Discrete, specified, circumscribed project Topic area representing PI's specific interest and competencies 3-5 years, \$250K-\$500K/Yr 	
R03	Small Research Project	 Limited scope and funding to support variety of projects: Pilot/feasibility studies Preliminary data collection Secondary analysis of existing data Development of new research technology 1-2 years, \$50K/Yr or total \$100K 	



<u>Types of Grants – R Series</u>

Activity Code	Title	Description
R13	Conference Grant	 Support high-quality conferences/scientific meetings AHRQ: Symposium, Workshop, or any other organized and formal meeting Generation of a research agenda Research design & methodology Dissemination and implementation Research training, infrastructure, and career development dissemination Awards vary in time/amount by Agency
R18	Research Demonstration & Dissemination Project	-Develop, test, and evaluate health service activities, and to foster the application of existing knowledge for the control of categorical diseases - 3 years, \$250K-\$500K/Yr

<u>Types of Grants – K Series</u>

Activity Code	Title	Description
K01	Research Scientist Development Award – Research & Training	 Provides support and protected time for intensive, supervised career development in biomedical, behavioral, or clinical sciences leading to research independence. Junior basic scientist faculty
K08	Clinical Investigator Award	 Provides support and protected time to individuals with a clinical doctoral degree for an intensive, supervised research career development experience Junior faculty with clinical doctoral degree



Funding Opportunities

FOA	Funding	Receipt & Review Dates	Advantages
Request for Applications (RFA)	Specific funds identified Targeted number of awards	-Single Date -Review by Special Emphasis Panel	Chances of obtaining funding depends on number of apps and amt of funds
Program Announcement (PA)	No specific funds	-Standard Dates (3x year) -Typically Open for 3 yrs -Review by standing Study Section	Competition tied to paylines (payline moves from cycle to cycle)
Parent Announcement	No specific funds	-Standard Dates (3x year) -Typically Open for 3 yrs -Review by standing Study Section	Freedom of topic Competition tied to paylines

CARILION CLINIC 11

Funding Opportunities

- Two approaches to identifying opportunities
 - Have an idea/project, look for the grant opportunity
 - Ideal approach
 - Develop a track record in your area
 - Find a grant opportunity, come up with the idea/project
 - Works, but not as well
 - There are ways to do it!
 - Typically evident to reviewers if not in your target are Carilion Clinic 12

Funding Opportunities

- Find the appropriate Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA)
- Download the appropriate application

GRANTS.GOV



March 7, 2016

- Read the entire announcement
 - Click on any embedded links
 - Check and double check for any updates
 - This will save you time and effort in the long run
 - Avoid surprises

- Identify potential duplication with other funded grants and contracts early in the process
 - Search online databases:
 - NIH Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools (RePORT)
 - Contains grants from NIH, AHRQ, CDC, SAMHSA, VA, etc.
 - MATCHMAKER (beta testing)
 - Enter text and have the system find similar project

15

• Go to Institute/Agency website

- If you have questions while developing your applications, ask:
 - The Program Official (listed on FOA)
 - Content-related questions
 - Alignment of your project with agency priorities
 - Potential duplication with newly funded grants
 - The Grants Management Specialist (listed on FOA)
 - Administrative-related questions (i.e. budget)
 - For parent announcements, FOA will send you to various Institute (NIH) or Center (other) contacts



CARILION CLINIC 17

March 7, 2016

Grant Application Process: The Application



March 7, 2016

- Project/Performance Sites
- Project Summary/Abstract
- Project Narrative
- Facilities and Other Resources
- Key Personnel
- Biosketches
- Budget
- Budget Justification
- Research Plan
- Letters of Support



- Performance Sites
 - Primary location
 - Applicant organization
 - Other locations
 - Other locations where work will be performed
 - Institutions of key personnel



- Project Summary/Abstract
 - Self-contained description of project
 - Contain statement of objectives and methods
- Project Narrative
 - Two or three sentences
 - Describes relevance of research to public health
 - Becomes public information



- Facilities and Other Resources
 - Facilities to be used
 - Capacities
 - Capabilities
 - Extent of availability to project
 - Equipment



- Senior/Key Personnel
 - All individuals who contribute in a substantive, meaningful way to the scientific development or execution of the project, whether or not salaries are requested
 - Consultants should be included if they meet this definition
 - Program Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI)
 - Some agencies allow for Co-PIs (not AHRQ)
 - Other Senior/Key Person profiles
- Other Significant Contributors (OSCs)
 - Individuals who commit to contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project, but don't commit to any specified measurable effort (zero person months)
- BIOSKETCHES FOR ALL OF ABOVE



- Chose your team wisely
 - Team members should appropriately represent the work being conducted
 - Example: Research involving pharmacists should probably have a pharmacist
 - Big names not always better
 - Can they really be on 20 grant applications and be able to contribute substantively?
 - Gesture vs. meaningful inclusion

- Biosketches
 - New format (starting May 25, 2015)
 - Five Pages
 - Highlights accomplishments as scientists
 - Up to five of most significant contributions to science
 - Description: findings, how it impacted field
 - List up to four relevant peer-reviewed pubs or other nonpublication research products
 - Link to full list of published work in MyBibliography



- Budget
 - Direct costs
 - 'Costs that can be identified specifically with a particular sponsored project'
 - Benefit a specific project
 - Examples: PI/Co-Investigators salaries, travel
 - Indirect costs (F&A)
 - 'Costs incurred by a grantee for common or joint objectives'
 - Benefit more than one project
 - Examples: lab space, utilities
 - Rate is negotiated with the Federal Government
 - Direct + Indirect = <u>Total Costs</u>



- Budget (at Carilion)
 - Total Cost = Direct Cost + Indirect Cost
 - \$1,000,000/Year = Direct Cost + .60(Direct Cost)
 - Direct Cost = \$600,000
 - Indirect Cost = \$400,000
 - Base Salary
 - Annual compensation
 - NIH Salary Cap = \$185,100 (this changes)
 - Calendar Months
 - Effort devoted to project
 - 30% effort = 3.6 calendar months



- Total costs should not exceed the limit set in the FOA
 - Check the FOA (again!)
 - If exceeds limit = automatic rejection of application

- Only ask for what you need
 - Similar to gases, budgets tend to expand to fit the space you give them
 - Same goes for timeline if you don't need a fifth year for an R01, then don't ask for it

29

- Lends credibility to the application
 - Demonstrates thoughtfulness



- Budget Justification
 - Information to support budget request
 - Direct Costs
 - Personnel
 - Key Personnel and others
 - Amount of time they will contribute and what they will deliver
 - Equipment
 - Travel
 - Study



- Research Plan
 - Specific Aims
 - Research Strategy
 - Significance
 - Innovation
 - Approach
 - Limitations/challenges
 - Timeline
 - Human Subjects Sections
 - Letters of Support



- Research Plan
 - Specific Aims
 - The cornerstone of the proposal
 - <u>One page</u> (Specific Aims page)
 - Builds an argument for proposed work (3-4 paragraphs)
 - Provide brief background/significance setting up critical problem to be addressed
 - Describe the critical problem
 - Propose how you will address critical problem
 - "Specific Aims"
 - Two to three concrete objectives (with 1-2 hypotheses)

CARILION CLINIC 33

- There is an art to the Specific Aims page
 - Seek out examples from mentors



- Prepare a draft of your Specific Aims page first
 - If you contact the Program Official (listed on FOA), they will typically ask for your Specific Aims page before they have a discussion with you
 - Serves to ground the conversation

- Do not expect the Program Official to tell you what to propose or to assist you in developing the methodology
 - They can provide early feedback on strategy and guide you to the best mechanism
 - May even suggest a more appropriate Institute/Agency

- Use your application to tell a story
 - Need to generate enthusiasm during peer review
 - Leave no-room for misinterpretation of that story
 - No guessing, no assuming, no reading between the lines




- Research Plan
 - Research Strategy
 - Significance
 - Use subsection headings to provide high-level outline
 - Lead reviewers along the story leading up to the critical question
 - Innovation
 - Innovation is not the same as significance
 - Novel combination of approaches or the application of accepted approaches to a new problem



- Research Plan
 - Research Strategy
 - Approach
 - Start out with a one-paragraph overview to orient reviewer
 - Include a diagram/flow chart
 - Site Selection
 - Participant recruitment
 - Inclusion/exclusion criteria
 - Research procedures
 - Methods
 - Power analyses
 - Based on prelim data (best)
 - Based on published data (good)
 - Statistical Methods
 - Data management



- Research Plan
 - Research Strategy
 - Approach
 - Anticipated results
 - Anticipated challenges and possible solutions
 - Future directions
 - Timeline (Gantt chart)



Sample Timeline - Gantt Chart

		Month											
	Pre- Award	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
IRB Application													
Staff education													
Aim 1: Active surveillance - data collection													
Aim 1: Active surveillance - data analysis													
Aim 2: Comparison of active surveillance data to data from standard reporting mechanism													
Aim 3: FMEA of high risk medication management processes													
Research team meetings													
AHRQ conference calls													
AHRQ quarterly reports													
Publications/dissemination/AHRQ meeting													



Grantsmanship Tip #10

- The research strategy and the grant mechanism should align
 - Have you chosen the appropriate FOA and mechanism?
 - Re-read the FOA (again!)

- Research Plan
 - Human Subjects Sections
 - Protection of Human Subjects
 - Subjects can be patients AND staff
 - Inclusion of Women & Minorities
 - Targeted/Planned Enrollment Table
 - Inclusion of Children
 - Letters of Support
 - Demonstrate institutional backing of proposed work



Grantsmanship Tip #11

- The grant application process is iterative
 - As you hone your application, go back and make sure that all of the pieces (the who, what, when, where, how) are aligned

- The Applicant
- The Science
- The Communication



- The Applicant
 - Proper match between applicant and grant
 - R01 shouldn't be first grant application
 - Level of educational training
 - Track record
 - Demonstrate successful completion of projects
 - In area of grant application
 - Complete publications in <u>peer-reviewed journals</u>
 - Institutional support
 - LOS from institution
 - Release from clinical work



- The Science
 - Key component of any application
 - Topic
 - Originality & Impact
 - Advances the science in a particular area
 - Appropriate methods for question being asked
 - Qualified researchers
 - Sufficient expertise
 - Feasible
 - Can do what you say you can
 - Staff effort, budget, timeline



- The Communication
 - Most critical piece
 - Need a hook
 - Quickly convince a group of strangers that your life interest is the most important thing in the world
 - For funding agencies: hot-button political issues
 - Reviewers read a lot of applications
 - Need to stand out
 - Selling the project
 - Refer to documents, products from granting agency
 - Letters of support from important people
 - Explain how this will benefit the agency
 - Create a long-range vision for the work



- The Communication
 - Write well
 - Clear, short sentences
 - Specific
 - Reviewers are reading quickly
 - Organize well
 - Logical flow
 - Headings/subheadings
 - Graphics/graphs/outlines



Grantsmanship Tip #12

- Have others (non-experts) read your application
 - Avoid writing an application that creates a high reviewer burden
 - Have to read sentences over and over
 - Need to diagram the approach to understand
 - The more varied your readers, the better the feedback
 - Can you explain what you are doing, in a clear, concise manner to someone who is not a domain expert?

Grant Application Process: The Review





The Review

- Study Section
 - Group of experts convened to review grants
- Meetings typically held three times per year
 - Coincides with grant application cycle
- Not open to the public



The Review

- Significance
- Investigators
- Innovation
- Approach
- Environment



The Review: Score Criteria

Impact	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
1 Exceptiona		Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
High	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium	4	Very good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
Low	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

CARILION CLINIC 55

The Review

- Two possible outcomes:
 - Application is not discussed ('triaged')
 - Numerical cutoff determined
 - Fall below that cutoff = triaged
 - Only receive scores from three main reviewers
 - Receive a Summary Statement
 - Application is discussed
 - 1° reviewer presents grant (10-15 minutes)
 - 2° & 3° reviewers give additional comments [READERS]
 - All members discuss/comment [SKIMMERS]
 - Receives a score from each reviewer
 - You see a Priority Score and Percentile
 - Receive a Summary Statement



Grantsmanship Tip #14

- If you feel your application is suited to a particular study section, suggest that in your cover letter
 - Not guaranteed, but cannot hurt

Grantsmanship Tip #15

- The Bottom Line
 - "The only grants that makes it through are ones that have good science, but also tell good stories. They need to make sense, be easy to read, and tell a story in a meaningful and compelling way."
 - Daniel Masys, July 2012

Chair, NIH Biomedical Computing and Health Informatics Study Section

Next Steps



Next Steps

- Be persistent
 - Getting to the first money is the hardest
 - Good mentorship helps
 - Don't take comments personally
 - "... sounded like a bunch of old men sitting around a table chatting."
 - If they don't get it, you need to say it differently
 - Reviewers take their job seriously
 - You may think they are wrong, but that means your communication wasn't correct



Resources



<u>Resources</u>

- Mentors
- Grants.gov
- NIH RePORT
- Agency Websites
- Rief-Lehrer, Liane. Grant Application Writer's Handbook.
- Hulley SB. Designing Clinical Research.
- Ries JB. The Research Funding Guidebook.
 CARILION CLINIC 62

You cannot get a grant if you do not apply!

Thank You

